



ALAMEDA COUNTY CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

1333 BROADWAY, SUITE 220 • OAKLAND, CA 94612 • PHONE: (510) B36-2560 • FAX: (510) B3B-2185 E-MAIL: pjail@accma.ca.gov • WEB SITE: accma.ca.gov

TRI-VALLEY TRIANGLE STUDY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING NOTICE

Tuesday, April 11, 2006

9:30-11:30 AM

Regional Meeting Room

Dublin City Offices

100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568

AGENDA

1. Introductions

2. Minutes of March 13, 2006 Meeting*

Action

It is recommended that the TAC approve minutes of the March 13, 2006 meeting.

3. Follow-up to TAC Comments from March 13, 2006 Meeting - BaselineAM and

Discussion / Action

The Consultant team has investigated comments relating to the second bottleneck that would occur under Alternative 6AM but did not occur under the BaselineAM condition. The team found the issue to be with the Baseline forecast volumes and not with the alternatives, and results from saturated unstable conditions.

4. Results of Modeling

Discussion / Action

a. Alternative 4*

The consultants have completed the three step modeling process for Alternative 4. The three steps include running of the travel demand model to provide input into the CORSIM model and then feedback from the operations model to the travel demand model. The attached memo documents the results. The TAC is requested to review the results to identify any "anomalies" in the modeling.

b. Alternatives'^*

Analysis for Alternative 5 should be completed near the PAC meeting date. If results are available, they will be presented to the TAC at the meeting with the TAC providing comments via e-mail or at the following meeting.

5. Follow-up to PAC Meeting Items from March 24, 2006 PAC Meeting

a. Chart showing locations of bottlenecks and queues ** - summary chart schematic will be presented.

Action

b. Select locations for values on difference plots ** - the PAC requested volume change percentages be shown in a larger size font on the difference plots so that they can be read when printed at 8.5 x 11 size. TAC cities to provide a few select locations that will be added to the plots.

Action

<p>c. PAC would also like the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. AM & PM difference plot of the base calibration model traffic (existing conditions) and the baseline 2030 volumes. ii. XX/regional/cut-through traffic summaries for each alternative by Jurisdiction. ill, Qualitative data presentation in table format to use the words "increase" and "decrease" instead of arrows or "+" and "-". iv. Show travel time savings as vehicle minutes saved instead of simply minutes per vehicle saved from Andrade to North Flynn. This would essentiall}' be VHT on state routes. V. Request from Dublin for data on MOEs at specific links for all alternatives (up to 3 links) 	<p>Action</p>
<p>6. Other Issues Relating to Alternative Configurations</p>	<p>Discussion/Action</p>
<p>7. Report Outline'^</p>	<p>Discussion/Action</p>
<p>8. Methodology' for Comparison of Benefits to Costs*</p>	<p>Discussion/Action</p>
<p>9. Schedule to Complete - Upcoming TAC and PAC Meeting Dates*'^</p>	<p>Action</p>
<p>10. Adjourn</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials attached ** Materials to be distributed at meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Tri-Valley Triangle Study - Benefit - Cost Issues ○ Tri-Valley Triangle Traffic Study Report Outline ○ Triangle Traffic Study Baseline ○ ACCMA Triangle Study Future Base 	